

United States District Court For The

Eastern District of New York

F.B.O.P. Reg. # 63706-050*

Holy Pharoah M.M. H.R.A.A.L.S.A. Et Bey, Et D.
(Supreme Abyssinian Ambassador of Moreish LA.W.)

CV 14-5706

Vs. UNITED STATES, J.

RECEIVED
Sealant

PROSE OFFICE

In the Public's Interests of Faith-Based Nomen Correction & Full Disclosure of the relevant adjudicative facts of Criminal Case Mag. No. 11-3184 In the honorable United States District Court of New Jersey as so evidenced among the reverse sides of this 2 page Federal Record of documentary evidence of the relevant accompanying adjudicative facts of *2011 U.S. Dist. Lexis 10611* per Civil Action No. 10-5995 (SRC) In the honorable United States District Court for New Jersey pursuant Civil Actions: CV 14-2066* & CV 14-2067* In this honorable United States District Court for The Eastern District of New York & the relevant relief sought pursuant Certiorari Appellant actions Vest etc In the Lawful Matter of the Application of the Body of Law formerly known publicly as Dr. Jason Lamar Chambers Pittman F. Al Amin-Bey, for the expressed intent to most lawfully & rightly assume the Faith-Based Nomen Correction Being Holy Pharaoh Alon Melchizedek Malik Ha'Elohim Rusul Ala-Alah Dr. Admiral Al'ad Din Lunariel Solariel Al'Ahezaah Et-Bey, pursuant the relevant N.J.S.A. 2A:52-1 to -3 pursuant this most lawfully

(Page 1 of 2 as of Sept. 9, 2014*)

* Pursuant Relevant
New & Present
Emergent Health Needs
* F.B.O.P. Reg. # 63706-050*

ORIGINAL

Pro Se Habeas

Corpus Pursuant

Original Civil

Action No. _____

Per 28 U.S.C. §§ 2255*

intended effort of Spiritual-Defense litigation, the Pro Se Plaintiff in the above named case, as so captioned presents this pro se Civil Complaint for Habeas Corpus Unimpaired Relief toward/in the form of a Declarative Summary Judgment of Court Ordered Certification Authorizing all to lawfully acknowledge said Faith-Based Nomen Correction pursuant American Federal Jurisprudence of Habeas Corpus Unimpaired under Constitutional Article III, & the relevant adjudicative facts of Chronological evidence pursuant above & the relevant Original Civil Actions: 10-3383* & 11-3181* In the honorable United States Court of Appeals for The Third Circuit pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 3006A* & 18 U.S.C. § 4247(g) to (h)*

Jurisdiction

The Jurisdiction of this Court is hereby invoked under 18 U.S.C. § 1332* 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 & 1985*; 18 U.S.C. § 3006A*; & 18 U.S.C. § 4247(a) to (h)* pursuant Habeas Corpus Unimpaired pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 1255*

Facts

The Pro Se Litigating Plaintiff in the above named case has been seemingly maliciously prosecuted & medically detained under duress since August of 2011* per the relevant Chronological measure of exculpatory evidence toward exonerations is so sought per the expressed alternative relief of being said Court Ordered Faith-Based Nomen Correction & the said Plaintiff's Clear & Present emergent need to be released on his Recognizance Per Medical Signature: Holy Pharaoh M.M.H.R.A.A. J.B.A. F.Bay. Ed.D. All Rights Reserved P.A.W.*
(Page 2 of 2 as of Sept. 9, 2014*)

AMBASSADOR-AT-LARGE GRAND SHEIK DR. ADMIRAL ALA'AD-DIN BEY, Plaintiff, v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, et al., Defendants.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10611
Civil Action No. 10-5995 (SRC)
February 3, 2011, Decided
February 3, 2011, Filed

Editorial Information: Prior History

Ala'ad-Din Bey v. United States DOJ, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5335 (D.N.J., Jan. 20, 2011)

Counsel **AMBASSADOR AT LARGE GRAND SHEIK DR. ADMIRAL ALA'AD-DIN BEY, Plaintiff**, Pro se, NEWARK, NJ.
For **MAHLON L. FAST, JSC, EUGENE J. CODEY, JR., NED M. ROSENBERG, JSC, NEW JERSEY SUPERIOR COURT, Defendant: BRIAN G. FLANAGAN**, OFFICE OF THE NJ ATTORNEY GENERAL, RJ HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX, TRENTON, NJ.

Judges: Stanley R. Chesler, United States District Judge.

Opinion

Opinion by: Stanley R. Chesler

Opinion

CHESLER, District Judge

This matter comes before the Court pursuant to an Order to Show Cause issued January 21, 2011. Plaintiff *pro se* filed a Complaint in this Court on November 12, 2010. Certain state entity and judicial defendants moved to dismiss based on Eleventh Amendment Sovereign Immunity. The Court granted that motion and dismissed the Complaint against those defendants with prejudice by Order of January 20, 2011. The case, however, remained active, as two other defendants had been named by Plaintiff in the Complaint. (Those two defendants, the United States Department of Justice and Hallmark Properties, have not yet entered an appearance in the case and, in fact, there is no indication on the docket that they have been served with process.) On review of the Complaint, the Court found that it could not discern the claim for which Plaintiff sought relief, nor the basis for the exercise of this Court's subject matter jurisdiction. This Court accordingly issued an Order to Show Cause, directing Plaintiff to show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed for noncompliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and lack of federal subject matter jurisdiction.

In response, Plaintiff has submitted a lengthy affidavit which is as incomprehensible as the Complaint. It does not elucidate in the slightest what legal relief Plaintiff seeks, on what facts any purported claims might be based, or indeed, how this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action. The Complaint will be dismissed with prejudice for the following reasons.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) requires that the Complaint contain a "short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction" as well as a "short and plain statement of the claim

showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." The Complaint does not comply with either of these requirements of Rule 8(a). Furthermore, this Court has notified Plaintiff of these defects and has given Plaintiff the opportunity to remedy them. Plaintiff's response to the Order to Show Cause was to submit an affidavit that did not make any progress toward compliance with Rule 8(a). Rather, the response reiterates many of the same statements made by Plaintiff in the Complaint and is, as a whole, rambling and incoherent. Based on Plaintiff's filings, the Court is, moreover, persuaded that further opportunities to remedy these pleading defects are futile.

The Supreme Court has characterized dismissal with prejudice as a "harsh remedy." New York v. Hill, 528 U.S. 110, 118, 120 S. Ct. 659, 145 L. Ed. 2d 560 (2000). Dismissal of a complaint with prejudice is appropriate if amendment would be inequitable or futile. Alston v. Parker, 363 F.3d 229, 235 (3d Cir. 2004). Here, this Court finds that it would be futile to give Plaintiff further opportunity to replead.

The Third Circuit recently addressed the issue of the incomprehensible complaint in an unpublished decision, Moss v. United States, 329 Fed. Appx. 335 (3d Cir. 2009). In Moss, the Third Circuit held that, prior to dismissing an incomprehensible complaint pursuant to Rule 8(a), the plaintiff must be given the opportunity to remedy the defect. Id. at 336. When the plaintiff has been given that opportunity and failed to meet the requirements of Rule 8(a), the district court may dismiss the complaint with prejudice. Id. In Moss, the Third Circuit cited approvingly the Second Circuit's decision in Simmons v. Abruzzo, 49 F.3d 83, 86 (2d Cir. 1995) (citations omitted), which states:

When a complaint fails to comply with [Rule 8(a)'s] requirements, the district court has the power, on motion or sua sponte, to dismiss the complaint or to strike such parts as are redundant or immaterial. Dismissal, however, is usually reserved for those cases in which the complaint is so confused, ambiguous, vague, or otherwise unintelligible that its true substance, if any, is well disguised. This Court further notes that, just days ago, in an unpublished and non-precedential opinion, the Third Circuit adhered to these principles in affirming this Court's dismissal of another complaint filed earlier in 2010 by the same Plaintiff to this action on grounds of its incomprehensibility and the futility of amendment. See Admiral Ada'Ad-din v. U.S. Dep't of State, et al., No. 10-3383, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 1855 (3d Cir. Jan. 27, 2011).

Considering both the Complaint and the response to the Order to Show Cause, this Court finds that the Complaint is so unintelligible that its true substance is well-disguised. Granting Plaintiff a further opportunity to remedy this defect is futile. The Complaint will be dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply with the requirements of Rule 8(a). An appropriate form of Order will accompany this Opinion.

/s/ Stanley R. Chesler

Stanley R. Chesler, U.S.D.J

DATED: February 3, 2011

Inmate Inquiry



Inmate Reg #: 63706050 Current Institution: DAVENPORT
 Inmate Name: AMIN-BEY, JASON Housing Unit: DEY-N/A
 Report Date: 07/30/2014 Living Quarters: S01-12 G
 Report Time: 1:30:40 PM

[General Information](#) | [Account Balances](#) | [Commissary History](#) | [Commissary Restrictions](#) | [Comments](#)

General Information

Administrative Hold Indicator: No
 No Power of Attorney: No
 Never Waive NSF Fee: No
 Max Allowed Deduction %: 100
 PIN: 8776
 PAC #: 024849535
 Revalidation Date: 19th
 FRP Participation Status: No Obligation
 Arrived From: BRO
 Transferred To:
 Account Creation Date: 11/22/2011
 Local Account Activation Date: 3/28/2014 3:16:54 AM
 Sort Codes:
 Last Account Update: 7/19/2014 12:11:41 AM
 Account Status: Active
 Phone Balance: \$0.00

Pre-Release Plan Information

Target Pre-Release Account Balance: \$0.00
 Pre-Release Deduction %: 0%
 Income Categories to Deduct From: ☐ Payroll ☐ Outside Source Funds

FRP Plan Information

FRP Plan Type	Expected Amount	Expected Rate
---------------	-----------------	---------------

Account Balances

Account Balance: \$0.00
 Pre-Release Balance: \$0.00
 Debt Encumbrance: \$0.00
 SPO Encumbrance: \$0.00
 Other Encumbrances: \$0.00

Outstanding Negotiable Instruments: \$0.00
Administrative Hold Balance: \$0.00
Available Balance: \$0.00
National 6 Months Deposits: \$0.00
National 6 Months Withdrawals: \$19.96
Available Funds to be considered for IFRP Payments: (\$450.00)
National 6 Months Avg Daily Balance: \$3.68
Local Max. Balance - Prev. 30 Days: \$0.00
Average Balance - Prev. 30 Days: \$0.00
Inmate Qualifies for OTC Medication
This Inmate is Indigent

Commissary History

Purchases

Validation Period Purchases: \$0.00
YTD Purchases: \$5.16
Last Sales Date: 5/1/2014 8:02:07 AM

SPO Information

SPO's this Month: 0
SPO \$ this Quarter: \$0.00

Spending Limit Info

Spending Limit Override: No
Weekly Revalidation: No
Bi-Weekly Revalidation: No
Spending Limit: \$320.00
Expended Spending Limit: \$0.00
Remaining Spending Limit: \$320.00

Commissary Restrictions

Spending Limit Restrictions

Restricted Spending Limit: \$0.00
Restricted Expended Amount: \$0.00
Restricted Remaining Spending Limit: \$0.00
Restriction Start Date: N/A
Restriction End Date: N/A

Item Restrictions

List Name	List Type	Start Date	End Date	Active
-----------	-----------	------------	----------	--------

Comments

Comments:

Date: 05/08/2014
Time: 7:41:58 AM

Federal Bureau of Prisons
TRUFACS
Inmate Statement
Sensitive But Unclassified

Facility: DEV
5/9/2014

Start Date: 06/06/2013
End Date: 05/08/2014
Inmate Reg#: 63706050
Account Status: All
Institution: All

General Information

Inmate Reg#: 63706050
Inmate Name: AMIN-BEY, JASON L
Current Site Name: Devens FMC
Housing Unit: DEV-N-A
Living Quarter: N01-111L
Arrived From: BRO
Transferred To:
Account Creation Date: 11/22/2011

Transaction Details

Alpha Code	Date Time	Reference#	Payment#	Receipt#	Transaction Type	Transaction Amount	Encumbrance Amount	Ending Balance
DEV	08/08/2013 08:04:50 AM	1			Sales	(\$9.00)		\$57.41
DEV	08/15/2013 07:33:24 AM	1			Sales	(\$9.00)		\$48.41
DEV	08/22/2013 07:51:30 AM	7			Sales	(\$13.75)		\$34.66
DEV	08/29/2013 07:51:34 AM	1			Sales	(\$9.00)		\$25.66
DEV	09/20/2013 08:01:00 AM	MJV046			Photo Copies	(\$5.70)		\$19.96
DEV	10/04/2013 09:01:16 AM	NTLR1013			TRUL Rev With Rel	\$0.00		\$19.96
DEV	10/04/2013 09:02:16 AM	REL0006	38		Release-Treas Chk	(\$19.96)		\$0.00
DEV	10/30/2013 04:30:14 AM	38-C			Release-Treas Chk	\$19.96		\$19.96
DEV	10/30/2013 07:37:07 AM		146		Release-Treas Chk	(\$19.96)		\$0.00
DEV	11/21/2013 04:30:15 AM	146-C			Release-Treas Chk	\$19.96		\$19.96
DEV	11/22/2013 08:07:30 AM	NWH0003			WUNK Transfer Out	(\$19.96)		\$0.00
DEV	02/24/2014 11:08:56 AM	MWHC0127			WUNK Transfer In	\$19.96		\$19.96
DEV	03/07/2014 03:16:47 AM	TX030714			Transfer - Out to TRUFACS	(\$19.96)		\$0.00
DEV	03/28/2014 03:16:54 AM	TX032814			Transfer - In from TRUFACS	\$5.16		\$5.16
DEV	04/17/2014 08:49:15 AM	24			Sales	(\$5.00)		\$0.16
DEV	05/01/2014 08:02:07 AM	1			Sales	(\$0.16)		\$0.00
Total Transactions:						16	(\$66.41)	\$0.00

Date: 05/08/2014
Time: 7:41:58 AM

Facility: DEV

Federal Bureau of Prisons
TRUFACS
Inmate Statement
Sensitive But Unclassified

General Information

Inmate Reg#: 63706050
Inmate Name: AMIN-BEY, JASON L
Current Site Name: Devens FMC
Housing Unit: DEV-N-A
Living Quarter: N01-111L
Arrived From: BRO
Transferred To:
Account Creation Date: 11/22/2011

Current Balances

Alpha Code	Available Balance	Pre-Release Balance	Debt Encumbrance	SPO Encumbrance	Other Encumbrance	Outstanding Instruments	Administrative Holds	Account Balance
Totals:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Other Balances

National 6 Months Deposits	National 6 Months Withdrawals	National 6 Months Avg Daily Balance	Local Max. Balance -Prev. 30 Days	Average Balance-Prev. 30 Days	Commissary Restriction Start Date	Commissary Restriction End Date
\$0.00	\$19.96	\$7.75	\$5.16	\$1.50	N/A	N/A

BP-S148.055 INMATE REQUEST TO STAFF
SEP 98*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE *For The Glory of Mezaan.** FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

TO: (Name and Title of Staff Member) <i>FM [illegible]</i>	DATE: <i>June 25, 1994</i>
FROM: <i>Dr. Adm. [illegible]</i>	REGISTER NO.: <i>570-56</i>
WORK ASSIGNMENT: <i>[illegible]</i>	UNIT: <i>N-128 / Medical Department</i>

SUBJECT: (Briefly state your question or concern and the solution you are requesting. Continue on back, if necessary. Your failure to be specific may result in no action being taken. If necessary, you will be interviewed in order to successfully respond to your request.)

Trust Fund Supervisor, Medical Department - FIP, DENIES: I present to Federal Bureau of Prisons the following request in response to the request of the Trust Fund Supervisor of all Trust Fund data records per the Federal Bureau of Prisons Record No. F63706-050 per records of lawful matters. I thank you for the Mezaan Much, for your professional assistance.

(Do not write below this line)

DISPOSITION:

Signature Staff Member

Date

Record Copy - File; Copy - Inmate
(This form may be replicated via WP)

This form replaces BP-148.070 dated Oct 86
and BP-S148.070 APR 94

Sensitive Limited Official Use Only